APPENDIX C

Expenses in Hatfield 4.0 Model

Expense Group: Network Expenses

Explanation: Maintenance and repair of various categories of investment - outside plant (e.g., NID, drop, distribution, Service Area Interface, Circuit equipment, Feeder plant) and Central office equipment (e.g., switch)

Data Origin: New England Telephone Company Incremental Cost Study (switching and circuit operating expenses), Hatfield Consultant (NID), FCC ARMIS 43-03 (everything else).

6212 Digital Electronic Expense

6230 Operator Systems Expense

6232 Circuit Equipment Expense

6351 Public

6362 Other Terminal Equipment

6411 Poles

6421 Aerial Cable

6422 Underground Cable

6423 Buried Cable

6426 Intrabuilding Cable

6431 Aerial Wire

6441 Conduit Systems

Amount Determination: Expense-to-Investment ratio (NET Study, ARMIS); Dollar per Line for NID. Application: Determine cost by multiplying Expense-to-Investment ratio times modeled investments; Determine NID cost by multiplying Dollar-per-Line times number of lines

Expense Group: Network Operations

Explanation: Network related expenses needed to manage the network but not accounted for on a plant type specific basis

Data Origin: ARMIS 43-03

6512 Provisioning Expenses

6531 Power Expenses

6532 Network Administration

6533 Testing

6534 Plant Operations Administration

6535 Engineering

Amount Determination: Hatfield default Network Operations Factor 50% times the embedded amount in

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally relative to UNE direct costs. Cost of "Network Administration" is allocated to traffic sensitive (i.e., switching, signaling and interoffice) UNEs only.

Expense Group: Network Support and Miscellaneous

Explanation: Miscellaneous expenses needed to support day to day operations

Data Origin: ARMIS 43-03

6112 Motor Vehicles

6114 Special Purpose Vehicles

6113 Aircraft 6116 Other Work Equipment

Hatfield Model, Release 4.0 Hatfield Associates, Inc.

Hatfield: Network Support

Hatfield: Network Support Hatfield: Miscellaneous

Hatfield: Miscellaneous

Amount Determination: In essence, embedded ARMIS levels are scaled to reflect the relative change in either cable and wire (C&W) investment for Network Support Expenses or total investment for Miscellaneous Expenses in the modeled results versus ARMIS. For example:

Hatfield Cost

= Embedded ARMIS Expense x (Hatfield C&W Inv./ARMIS C&W Inv.)

The rationale is that these costs will be lower in a forward-looking cost study.

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally relative to direct costs

Expense Group: Other Taxes

Explanation: Taxes paid on gross receipts and property (i.e., 7240 Other Operating Taxes)

Data Origin: Hatfield expert estimate of 5% is based on overall Tier 1 Company ratio of ARMIS 7240

Expenses to ARMIS Revenues.

Amount Determination: Modeled costs are grossed up by 5%.

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally relative to direct costs.

Expense Group: Miscellaneous

Explanation: Miscellaneous expenses needed to support day to day operations

Data Origin: ARMIS 43-03

6122 Furniture

6123 Office Equipment

6124 General Purpose Computer

6121 Buildings

Amount Determination: In essence, embedded ARMIS levels are scaled to reflect the relative change in total investment in the Hatfield model versus ARMIS. For example:

Hatfield Cost

= Embedded ARMIS Expense x (Hatfield Tot. Inv./ARMIS Tot. Inv.)

The rationale is that these costs will be lower in a forward-looking cost study.

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally relative to direct costs.

Expense Group: Carrier-to-carrier customer service

Explanation: This category includes all carrier customer-related expenses such as billing, billing inquiry, service order processing, payment and collections. End-user retail services are not included in UNE cost development.

Data Origin: ARMIS 4304 (carrier-to-carrier cost to serve IXC access service)

7150 Service Order Processing

7170 Payment and Collections

7190 Billing Inquiry

7270 Carrier Access Billing System

Amount Determination: Hatfield multiplies embedded amount (across Tier 1 LECs) times 70% to get \$1.69 per line per year. The cost is determined by multiplying the cost per line times the number of lines. This figure includes the above business office activities, hence there is no need for a separate non-recurring charge to account for this activities. The underlying data that the UNE costs were developed from include other types of non-recurring costs outside the business office. Most of the non-recurring costs are captured in the Hatfield UNE estimate.

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally relative to direct costs.

DRAFT -- 8/1/97

Expense Group: Variable Overhead

Explanation: Executive, Planning and General and Administrative costs

Data Origin: ARMIS 43-03

6711 Executive

6712 Planning

6721 Accounting & Finance

6722 External Relations 6723 Human Resources

6724 Information Management

6725 Legal

6726 Procurement

6727 Research & Development

6728 Other General & Administrative

Amount Determination: Hatfield estimates 10.4% multiplier based on AT&T public data.

/ Hillouit John State St	<u>\$Mill</u>	Source
 A Rev. Net of Settlements B Settlement Payout C Gross Revenues D Corporate Operations E Revenue less Corp. Op. F Ratio 	36,877 4,238 41,115 3,879 37,236 10.4%	Form M 1994 Intl Traffic Data 1/19/96 A + B Form M 1994 C - D D/E

Application: Cost is determined by multiplying the sum of all costs by 1.104.

Expense Group: Carrier-to-carrier Uncollectibles

Explanation: Revenues not realized associated with services provided (i.e., delinquency, fraud)

Data Origin: Company-specific ratio calculated from ARMIS 4304 Uncollectibles to ARMIS Access

Revenues.

Amount Determination: Modeled costs are grossed up by the uncollectible rate.

Application: Determine cost by allocating to unbundled network elements (UNEs) equiproportionally

relative to direct costs.

APPENDIX D

Network Operations Reduction

No matter what area of network operations one looks at, one observes a rich set of target opportunities for cost savings. In Account 6512, Network Provisioning, new technologies such as the Telecommunications Management Network (TMN) standards, procedures, and systems, and Digital Cross-Connect Systems (DCS) provide for much more centralized access and control, and self-provisioning by customers (including, and especially, knowledgeable CLECs). Given the tiered nature of TMN, where there are element, network, service, and business layers of management, some of the advantages of TMN will redound to the benefit of plant-specific expenses, while others, associated with the network, service and business management layers, will benefit the more-general activities included in network operations. DCS, with its higher investment cost but favorable impact on expenses, is assumed in HM 3, whereas it was not assumed in HM 2.2.2.

The use of Electronic Data Interchange, intranet technology, and technologies such as bar coding provide substantial opportunities to reduce the costs of the inventory component of this category of accounts. On the human resources side, there is a greater emphasis on quality control in provisioning activities, reducing incipient failures in the services and elements provided.

As far as power expenses, Account 6531, digital components typically consume less power than their analog counterparts. Furthermore, centralization in other expense categories also spills over into this category, since centralization implies fewer buildings to power less of the time. Finally, due to the onset of competition in the electric power industry and the greater regulatory scrutiny of new generation resources, the industry is increasingly willing to provide price reductions to large business (and, increasingly, even residential and small business) customers. It is now quite common for firms to participate in energy programs in which, in exchange for reducing consumption during peak hours, they receive substantial discounts in the cost of power.

Network Administration, Account 6532, benefits from the deployment of SONET-based transport, because many administration activities are oriented to reacting to outages, which are lessened with the deployment of newer technologies. Testing, Account 6533, also benefits from the better monitoring and reporting capabilities provided by TMN and SONET. This can lead to more proactive, better-scheduled preventative maintenance. On the human resources side, there is a growing tendency for testing activities to be taken over by contractors, leading to lower labor costs for the ILECs. To the extent the activities are still performed by telephone company personnel, they can be performed by personnel with lower job classifications. Finally, the use of "hot spares" can reduce the need for out-of-hours dispatch and emergency restoral activities. Overall, fiber and SONET projects are often "proven in" partly on the assumption that they will produce significant operational savings.

Plant Operations and Administration, Account 6534, is likely to require fewer supervisory personnel, and more involvement by the vendors of equipment to the ILECs. For instance, as vendors take over many of the installation and ongoing maintenance activities associated with their equipment, there will be fewer ILEC engineers requiring management. The use of multi-skilled craft people will allow for fewer specialists to be sent out to address particular problems, and less supervision to manage the people that are sent out. It will, for instance, allow for greater span of control in supervisory and management ranks.

Finally, Engineering, Account 6535, will be more focused on activities associated with positioning the ILECs in a multi-entrant marketplace, less on the engineering of specific elements and services, as those activities become more automated and more in the hands of the purchasers of unbundled elements. To the extent that engineering addresses particular projects, or categories of projects, the use of better planning

DRAFT -- 8/1/97

tools, such as the ability to geocode customer locations and sizes, will act to reduce the amount of such activities.

Additional specific reasons for adjusting the embedded level of these expenses include the following:

Recognize industry trends and the opportunities for further reductions. Network operations expenses, expressed on a per line basis, have already declined over the past several years. For the reasons described in the previous section, this trend is expected to continue as modern systems and technologies are deployed.

Eliminate incumbent LEC retail costs from the network operations expense included in the cost for unbundled network elements. A number of the sub-accounts (6533 Testing and 6534 Plant Operations Administration) include costs that are specific to retail operations that are not appropriately included in the cost calculated for unbundled network elements. A portion of the expenses booked to these sub-accounts represent activities that new entrants, rather than the incumbent LEC, will be performing. Analysis indicates that, as a conservative measure, 20% of the expenses in these two sub-accounts represent such retail activities and should be excluded. Since these two sub-accounts represent 56% of the total booked network operations expense, it is reasonable to conclude that, at a minimum, an additional 11% reduction should be applied to the historic booked levels of network operations expense.

Incorporate incumbent LEC expectations of forward-looking network operations expense levels. The Benchmark Cost Proxy Model ("BCPM"), sponsored by PacTel, Sprint, and US West, consistently calculates a level network operations expense per line that is well below historic levels and below the level calculated by the Hatfield Model. This projection of forward-looking network operations expenses, prepared for and advocated by three incumbent LECs, indicates that the Hatfield Model adjustment to the embedded levels of these expenses are appropriate and necessary (and may yield cost estimates that are conservatively high).

Minimize double counting of network operations expenses. A careful review of the way ARMIS account 6530 and the related sub-accounts (6531 Power, 6532 Network Administration, 6533 Testing, 6534 Plant Operations Administration, and 6535 Engineering) are constructed makes it clear that further adjustment is necessary to accurately produce forward-looking costs. Many of the engineering and administrative functions that are included in these accounts are recovered by the incumbent LECs through non-recurring charges. Without such an adjustment, these costs may be double-recovered through existing non-recurring charges and simultaneously through the recurring rates based on the Hatfield Model results. Similarly, double recovery is possible because these accounts are constructed as so-called "clearance accounts" where expenses are booked before they are assigned to a specific project. Without an adjustment, these expenses could be recovered as service or element-specific costs and as the shared costs represented by network operations expense.

INDEX: Aerial and Buried Drop Structure Fractions, 15 Aerial and Buried Terminal and Splice per Line, Alternative Central Office Switching Expense Factor, 107 Alternative Circuit Equipment Factor, 108 Analog Line Circuit Offset for DLC Lines, per Line, 68 Annual to daily usage reduction factor, 76 Appendix A, 124 Appendix B, 125 Appendix C, 131 Appendix D, 134 Average Lines per Business Location, 15, 110 Billing/bill Inquiry, 106 Buried Copper Cable Sheath Multiplier, 21 Buried Drop Sharing Fraction, 14 **BURIED EXCAVATION, 114 BURIED INSTALLATION AND RESTORATION, 114** Buried Sheath Addition-Fiber Interoffice, 83 Business Penetration Ratio, 70 **Busy Hour Call Attempts** Residential/Business, 77 Busy Hour Fraction of Daily Usage, 76 C Link Cross-Section, 95 Cable 24 Gauge & 26 Gauge, 18 Distribution Cable Sizes, 18 Distribution, Cost per foot Installed, 18 Riser Cable, Size & Gauge, 20 Cable Cost Copper Feeder Cable Cost per foot, 55 Fiber Feeder Cable, 56 Call Completion Fraction, 74 Carrier-Carrier Customer Service per Line, 109 Channel Bank Investment, per 24 Lines, 80 Channel Unit Investment GR-303 & Low Density DLC Channel Unit Investment, 59 T-1 Channel Unit Investment, 42 Common Equipment Investment GR-303 & Low Density DLC, 59 Per additional increment of GR-303 & Low Density DLC capacity, 61 Remote T-1 Multiplexer Common Equipment Investment, 42 Conduit Innerduct Material Investment, 50 Material Investment per foot, 22 Material Investment per foot Graph, 22

Spare tubes per route-Distribution & Feeder. Spare tubes per route-Interoffice, 83 Copper Feeder Cable Cost per foot, 55 Manhole Spacing, 48 Pole Spacing, 48 Structure Fractions, 47 Copper Feeder Pole Investment, 49 Copper Maximum Distance Local RT (per Cluster) Thresholds -Maximum Total Distance, 38 Corporate Overhead Factor, 106 COST OF CAPITAL AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE, 103 COT Investment per T-1 RT, 43 **Dedicated Circuit Inputs** Pairs per Dedicated Circuit, 46 Percentage of Dedicated Circuits, 46 **DEPRECIATION AND NET SALVAGE, 104** Difficult Terrain Distance Multiplier, 36 Digital Cross Connect System, Installed, per DS-3,81 Directory Listing, 107 Direct-routed Fraction of Local Inter-office, 89 Distribution Cable Cost per foot, Installed, 18 Distribution Cable Sizes, 18 Fill Factors, 34 Riser Cable, Size & Gauge, 20 Distribution Pole Spacing, 34 DLC Analog Line Circuit Offset for DLC Lines, per Line, 68 Channel Unit Investment, 59 Common Equipment Investment per Additional Line Increment, 61 COT Investment per T-1 RT, 43 Initial Common Equipment Investment, 59 Integrated T-1 COT Investment, 42 Lines per Channel Unit, 59 Low Density DLC to GR-303 DLC Cutover, Maximum Line Size per Remote Terminal, 58 Maximum Number of Additional Line Modules per Remote Terminal, 62 Remote T-1 Multiplexer Common Equipment

Investment, 42

Remote Terminal Fill Factor, 58

Site and Power per Remote Terminal, 58

Hatfield Model, Release 4.0 Hatfield Associates, Inc.

Spare ducts per route-Distribution & Feeder,

T-1 Channel Unit Investment per Subscriber, 42	Holding Time Multiplier Residential, 77
Drop	ICO C-Link / Tandem A-Link Investment, per
Aerial & Buried Drop Structure Fractions, 15	Line, 101
Aerial Drop Placement-Labor Components, 13	ICO Local Tandem Investment, per Line, 100
Aerial Drop Wire Material Cost per foot, 16	ICO Local Tandem Wire Center Investment, per
Buried Drop Placement-Cost per foot-Graph,	Line, 101
13	ICO OS Tandem Investment, per Line, 100
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction, 14	ICO OS Tandem Wire Center Investment, per
Buried Drop Wire Material Cost per foot, 16	Line, 101
Drop Distance, 12	ICO PARAMETERS, 100
Drop Placement-Aerial & Buried, 12	ICO SCP Investment, per Line, 100
Drop Wire Material Cost per foot Graph, 17	ICO STP Investment, per Line, 100
Pairs per Aerial Drop, 16	ICO STP/SCP Wire Center Investment, per Line,
Pairs per Buried Drop, 16	101
DS-0/DS-1 Terminal Factor, 109	Income Tax Rate, 106
DS-1/DS-3 Terminal Factor, 109	Initial Common Equipment Investment
End Office Non Line-Port Cost Fraction, 108	GR-303 & Low Density DLC, 59
End Office Switching Investment Constant	Innerduct Material Investment, 50
Term, 69	InterLATA Interstate Calls Completed, 74
End Office Switching Investment Slope Term,	InterLATA Interstate Calls Completed, 74 InterLATA Intrastate Calls Completed, 74
69	Interoffice Pole Material and Labor, 85
Entrance Facility Distance from Serving Wire	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Center & IXC POP, 92	Interoffice Structure Sharing Fraction, 87
EXCAVATION AND RESTORATION, 111	Interoffice Transmission Terminal Configuration
	(Fiber Ring), 124
EXPENSE, 103	Interstate bus/res DEMs, 76
Expenses in Hatfield 4.0 Model, 131	Interstate Business/Residential DEMs, 76
Fiber Cable Investment	Interstate DEMs, Thousands, 75
Interoffice, 81, 82	IntraLATA Calls Completed, 74
Fiber Feeder	Intrastate bus/res DEMs, 76
Buried Fiber Sheath Addition, 52	Intrastate Business/Residential DEMs, 75
Copper Feeder Maximum Distance, 60	Intrastate DEMs, Thousands, 75
Copper/Fiber Break-even distance, 60	Investment per Operator Position, 98
Fiber Feeder Cable Cost per foot, 56	ISUP Message Length, 95
Fiber Strands per Remote Terminal, 60	ISUP Messages per Interoffice BHCA, 95
Optical Patch Panel Investment, 60	Labor Adjustment Factor, 23
Pullbox Investment-Fiber Feeder, 65	Lines per Channel Unit-GR-303 & Low Density
Pullbox Spacing, 52	DLC, 59
Structure Fractions, 51	Link Occupancy, 94
Fiber feeder distance threshold, 60	Link Termination, 94
Fill Factors	LNP Cost, per Line, 108
Copper Feeder Cable, 53	Local Bus/Res DEMs Ratio, 75
Distribution Cable, 34	Local Business/Residential DEMs Ratio, 75
Fiber Feeder, 53	Local Call Attempts, 74
Remote Terminal Fill Factor, 58	Local DEMs, Thousands, 75
Forward-looking Network Operations Factor,	Local RT (per Cluster) Thresholds - Maximum
107	Total Distance, 38
Fraction of BHCA Requiring TCAP, 96	Long Loop Investments, 41
Fraction of Interoffice Structure Common with	COT Investment per T-1 RT, 43
Feeder, 86	Integrated T-1 COT Investment, 42
Fraction of SA Lines Requiring Multiplexing, 80	Remote T-1 Multiplexer Common Equipment
Fraction of Structure Assigned to Telephone, 87	Investment, 42
GR-303 DLC remote terminal fill factor, 58	T-1 Channel Unit Investment per Subscriber,
Hard Rock Placement Multiplier, 36	42
Hatfield Model, Release 4.0	Index
Hatfield Associates, Inc.	Page 140
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

T-1 Repeater Investments, 41	Pole Spacing
Lot Size	Copper Structure, 48
Maximum Lot Size, Acres, 40	Interoffice, 85
Town Lot Size, Acres, 40	Poles
Low Density DLC	Interoffice Pole Material & Labor, 85
Low Density DLC to GR-303 DLC Cutover,	Pole Spacing-Feeder, 48
60	Spacing - Distribution, 34
Low Density DLC to GR-303 DLC Cutover, 60	POPs per Tandem Location, 90
Manhole Investment	Port Limit, Trunks, 91
Interoffice, 85	Power Investment, 71
Manholes	Prices
Manhole Excavation & Backfill Graph, 64	Potential Retaliation Against Suppliers, 8
Manhole Investment-Copper Feeder, 63	Telecommunications Suppliers, 8
Manhole Material Graph, 63	Processor Feature Loading Multiplier, 69
Pullbox Investment-Fiber Feeder, 65	Public Telephone Investment, 98
Pullbox spacing-Fiber Feeder, 52	Pulibox Investment
Pullbox spacing-Interoffice, 84	Fiber Feeder, 65
Spacing-Copper Feeder, 48	Interoffice, 85
Maximum Line Size per Remote Terminal, 58	Pullbox Spacing
Maximum Lot Size, Acres, 40	Fiber Feeder, 52
Maximum Number of Additional Line Modules	Interoffice, 84
per Remote Terminal, 62	Real Time Limit, BHCA, 91
Maximum Trunk Occupancy, 88	Real Time Limit, BHCA, Trunks, 91
Maximum Utilization per Operator Position, 98	Regenerator Investment, 79
MDF/Protector Investment per Line, 68	Regenerator Spacing, 80
Network Operations Reduction, 134	Regional Labor Adjustment Factor, 23
NID	Effect on Aerial Drop Installation, 28
Business NID - No Protector, 10	Effect on Buried Drop Installation, 29
Business NID (6 Pair) without Protector-	Effect on Buried Installation, 24
Material Graph, 11	Effect on Conduit Installation, 24
NID Protection Block per Line, 10, 11	Effect on Copper Distribution Cable
NID Protector Block per Line-Material Graph,	Installation, 26
10, 11	Effect on Copper Feeder Cable Installation, 26
Residential NID Cost without Protector, 9	Effect on Fiber Feeder Cable Installation, 27
Residential NID without Protector-Material	Effect on Fiber Pullbox Installation, 25
Graph, 9	Effect on Indoor SAI Installation, 28
NID Expense, 109	Effect on Manhole Installation, 25
NID Investment	Effect on NID Installation, 28
Default Values, 9	Effect on Outdoor SAI Installation, 27
Number of Strands per ADM, 82	Table of State Values, 29
Operator Intervention Factor, 98	Remote Terminal Fill Factor, 58
Operator Traffic Fraction, 88	Repeaters
Optical Distribution Panel-Interoffice, 79	T-1 Repeater Investments, Long Loops, 41
Optical Patch Panel Investment, Fiber Feeder, 60	Riser Cable Size and Cost per Foot, 20
OTHER EXPENSE INPUTS, 106	Rock Depth Threshold, Inches, 36
•	SAI Investment, 44
Other Taxes Factor, 106	•
OVERVIEW, 8	SCP Investment per Transaction per Second, 96
Pairs per Dedicated Circuit, 46	Sharing
Percentage of Dedicated Circuits, 46	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction, 14
Placement of Transport, 83	Sidewalk/Street Fraction, 37
Pole Investment	Signaling Link Bit Rate, 94
Copper Feeder, 49	Site and Power per Remote Terminal, 58
Distribution, 21	Soft Rock Placement Multiplier, 37
Material & Labor Cost Graph, 21	Spare Conduit tubes per route-Distribution &
Hatfield Model, Release 4.0	Index

Hatfield Associates, Inc.

Page 141

89	
Tandem Routed % of Total IntraLATA Traffic	
89	
Tandem/EO wire center common factor, 71	
TCAP Message Length, 96	
TCAP Messages per Transaction, 95	
Terminal	
Terminal Material Cost Graph, 16	
Terminal Investment-Interoffice	
EF&I Labor Cost, per hour, 79	
EF&I Labor Hours, 79	
Fiber Pigtails, 78	
Number of Fibers, 78	
Optical Distribution Panel, 79	
Transmission Terminal Investment, 78	
Terminals	
Aerial Terminal & Splice per Line, 16	
Buried Terminal & Splice per Line, 16	
Terrain	
Distribution Distance Multiplier, Difficult	
Тегтаіп, 36	
Hard Rock Placement Multiplier, 36	
Rock Depth Threshold, Inches, 36	
Rock Saw/Trenching Ratio Graph, 37	
Soft Rock Placement Multiplier, 37	
Total Interoffice Traffic Fraction, 88	
Town Factor, 38	
Town Lot Size, Acres, 40	
Transmission Terminal Fill (DS-0 level), 81	
Transport Placement, 83	
Trunk Fill (Port Occupancy), 91	
Trunk Termination Investment, 88	
Trunk Utilization, 110	
UNDERGROUND EXCAVATION, 111	
UNDERGROUND RESTORATION, 111	
Wire Center	
Construction Costs, 72	
Land Price, 72	
Lot Size, 71	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Scott M. Bohannon, do hereby certify that on this 17th day of October, 1997, I caused a copy of the foregoing Comments of AT&T Corp. and MCI Telecommunications Corporation on Customer Location Issues to be served upon each of the parties listed on the attached Service List by U.S. First Class mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ Scott M. Bohannon
Scott M. Bohannon

SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable James H. Quello Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Julia Johnson
State Chair
Chairman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

The Honorable David Baker Commissioner Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, S.W. Atlanta, GA 30334-5701 The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson Chairman Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Dr., SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Martha S. Hogerty Missouri Office of Public Council 301 West High Street, Suite 250 P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Tom Boasberg
Office of the Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Charles Bolle South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Deonne Bruning Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street P.O. Box 94927 Lincoln, NE 68509-4927 James Casserly
Commissioner Ness' Office
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Rowland Curry
Texas Public Utility Commission
1701 North Congress Avenue
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78701

Bridget Duff, State Staff Chair Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866

Kathleen Franco
Commissioner Chong's Office
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Paul Gallant Commissioner Quello's Office Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802 Washington, D.C. 20554

Emily Hoffnar, Federal Staff Chair Federal Communications Commission Accounting and Audits Division Universal Service Branch 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8617 Washington, D.C. 20554

Lori Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities
Commission
North Office Building, Room 110
Commonwealth and North Avenues
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Sandra Makeef
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer
Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Thor Nelson Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Suite 610 Denver, CO 80203

Barry Payne
Indiana Office of Consumer Counsel
100 North Senate Avenue
Room N501
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

Timothy Peterson, Deputy Division Chief Federal Communications Commission Accounting and Audits Division 2100 M Street, NW, Room 8613 Washington, D.C. 20554

James B. Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
P.O. Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044-0684

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Kevin Schwenzfeier NYS Dept. of Public Service 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Tiane Sommer Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

Sheryl Todd (plus 8 copies)
Federal Communications Commission
Accounting and Audits Division
Universal Service Branch
2100 M Street, NW, Room 8611
Washington, D.C. 20554

Margot Smiley Humphrey, Esq. Koteen & Naftalin, L.L.P. 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036

Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C. 1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Joe D. Edge, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
901 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005

Robert A. Mazer, Esq. Vinson & Elkins, LLP 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1008

Michael S. Pabian 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H86 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Lawrence W. Katz, Esq. 1320 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Joseph Di Bella, Esq. 1300 I Street, N.W. Suite 400 West Washington, D.C. 20005

M. Robert Sutherland, Esq. 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

David N. Porter
Vice President - Government Affairs
WorldCom, Inc.
1120 Connecticut Ave. NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 Robert B. McKenna Suite 700 1020 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Jay C. Keithley 1850 M Street Suite 1110 Washington, DC 20036

Robert M. Lynch, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3524
St. Louis, MO 63101

Larry A. Peck, Esq. 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H86 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Jeffrey S. Linder Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006

Kathleen Q. Abernathy
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

Florida Public Service Commission Cynthia B. Miller Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Rural Utilities Service Adam Golodner Deputy Administrator 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250 Northern Telecom, Inc.
Stephen L. Goodman
Halprin Temple Goodman & Sugrue
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 650 East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

John G. Lamb, Jr.
Northern Telecom Inc.
2100 Lakeside Boulevard
Richardson, TX 75081-1599